

Workshop #615, June 7th 2017, 37th AQPC Symposium

# Formative Assessment Practices for Student Motivation and Success

Angela Mastracci  
Pedagogical Counsellor  
Cégep Marie-Victorin

# Workshop Outline

**Goal:** Visit or revisit different concepts related to formative assessment practices in the classroom and how they contribute in promoting student motivation and success.

- Intro - context
- Questionnaire
  - Assessment types and functions
  - Formative assessment characteristics
- Drawing conclusions and moving forward
- Digging deeper

# Questionnaire 1-2-3

## 1. Individually

- Answer each question briefly using the space provided in the left-hand column.

## 2. In teams

- Share ideas on a particular question and take notes in the right-hand column

## 3. Group

- Share and discuss answers for each question and take notes in the right-hand column

# Assessment Types and Functions

Types	Other terms	Functions
Diagnostic assessment	Assessment <i>for</i> learning	To <b>diagnose</b> prior knowledge, interests, representations and misconceptions before initiating a learning step in order to determine necessary adjustments in planning
Formative assessment	Assessment <i>for</i> learning Assessment <i>as</i> learning	To <b>support</b> student learning in order to promote progress by targeting necessary improvements (specific and descriptive <b>feedback, regulation</b> )
Summative evaluation	Assessment <i>of</i> learning	To <b>evaluate (or grade)</b> student achievement at the end of a step (or at the end of a course)
Certificate evaluation	Assessment <i>of</i> learning	To <b>certify</b> competency levels achieved at the end of a course (or a program)

# Regulation of Learning...

Is **directly** linked to formative assessment

It's **process** involves...

- **Setting a goal and determining the appropriate action** – using strategies during the task in order to achieve learning goals
- **Monitoring progress** – comparing student performance with learning goals on an ongoing basis (in view of success criteria)
- **Providing feedback** – in order to determine any discrepancies between student learning and learning goals and expectations
- **Confirming or redirecting the path** – by providing specific actions for improvement to reduce or close the gap

*Adapted from Leroux (2015), Durand and Chouinard (2012) and Louis (2004)*

# Informal and Formal Formative Assessment

## Informal Formative Assessment

- happens in a **natural** way, with no particular tools
- often instigated by asking **questions** during the class
- it's source can be from the teacher detecting student **needs** during **learning activities**
- can result in a **spontaneous activity** with students in order to clear up a misconception or to deepen understanding

## Formal Formative Assessment

- orchestrated and thought out when **planning** the course evaluations
- consists of **planned activities**, **learning goals** and **success criteria**
- consists of documented **tools**...such as task instructions and grids (rubrics)

*Adapted from Leroux (2010, 2015)*

# Types of **Formal** Formative Assessment

## Self-assessment\*

...helps in developing student autonomy

- by inducing a **metacognitive** process
- through **critical thinking** and **judgement**
- by promoting **self-regulation** - *the ultimate goal*

\*During **self-assessment**, students assess their work and procedures alone, using an external framework (task instructions, grids or rubrics).

*Durand and Chouinard (2012) and Leroux (2015)*

# Metacognition / Self-Regulation

Ask **metacognitive questions** to provoke feedback and self-regulation...

1. What discoveries have you made during this task?
2. What aspects need fine-tuning?
3. What aspects of the task were easy to perform? Why?
4. What aspects of the task were difficult to perform? Why?
5. Which aspects of the task demonstrate your competencies?
6. What's missing to better demonstrate your competencies?
7. What would you change if you perform this task again in the near future?
8. Etc...

# Effective Feedback

Three **areas to target** for effective feedback...

1. The **task** (product)
  - result - strengths, weaknesses, what's missing
2. The **path travelled** in getting there (process)
  - methods - strengths, weaknesses, what other choices
3. The **introspective aspects** (person)
  - learning strategies - strengths, weaknesses, what's missing, how to integrate better learning habits

# Types of **Formal** Formative Assessment

That include interactions...

1. Between the student and the teacher (**co-assessment**)
  - Students compare their self-assessment (1<sup>st</sup> step) to that which is carried out by their teacher (2<sup>nd</sup> step)\*
2. Between students (**peer-assessment**)
  - Two or more students assess their respective or joint work and procedures\*

\*using an external framework (task instructions, grids or rubrics).

*Adapted from Durand et Chouinard (2012, p 247) and Leroux (2015)*

# Tasks that Support Student Involvement During the Assessment Process

**The list is endless! Be creative!**

Problem solving	Projects	Written summaries
Case studies	Oral presentations	Surveys
Learning journal	Practical exercises	Peer teaching
Portfolio	Writing assignments	Learning situations
Mock-ups	Team work	Game or role playing
Posters, diagrams, drawings or charts	Examples and counter- examples	In connection with technology...
Research tasks	Debates	Etc.

*Durand and Chouinard (2012); Laveault (2004); Leroux (2010); Thompson (2012)*

# Advantages of Formative Assessment

## For the **teacher**, it helps in...

- identifying individual student **needs**
- establishing **learning profiles** for a particular group of students
- **adjusting** one's teaching plans
- orchestrating **integrated learning activities**
- **reducing** correction time
- ...

## For **the student**, it helps in...

- promoting **deep learning**
- **moving forward** during the learning process (progress)
- obtaining **frequent** and **specific feedback**
- obtaining a **learning profile** (strong points, challenges, improvements)
- **getting involved** in one's own assessment process (or that of a peer)
- taking **responsibility** for one's own learning
- taking **risks**, making **mistakes** and learning from them
- ...

# Challenges and Stumbling Blocks of Formative Assessment

## For the **teacher**...

- if it is considered an **addition** when planning
- extra time needed to invest in **preparing** the lesson
  - reflection, materials, task instruction, assessment tools, etc.
- even if it is on a continual basis, the need to **balance** and **vary**
- class management skills – **Plan B**
- ...

## For the **student**...

- can lose **motivation**
  - concerning the **value** of the activity or task
  - concerning **class environment**
- needs **guidance** and **training**
- ...

# Motivational Dynamics and Instructional Activities

## Students **perceptions**...

- ...of the **value** they attribute to the activity
  - *Why should I engage in the task?*
- ...of their own **competencies**
  - *What is my success rate in achieving the task?*
- ...of **control** during the task
  - *Do I have a say on how the task is done?*

*Adapted from Viau (2015, p. 226)*

# Training Students to Assess

1. Establish clear and precise **success criteria** (including their **indicators**)
2. Clarify and promote **understanding** of success criteria with students
3. Provide students with student-friendly **assessment tools**
4. Develop activities to **practice** with the assessment tools

*From Durand and Chouinard (2012, p 245)*

# Peer-Assessment...Hold-on !

<b>Challenges</b> that crop up during peer-assessment	<b>Strategies</b> to help overcome these challenges
Students may need <i>more time</i> to provide effective feedback. Don't forget, they are novice assessors.	Help students in <i>understanding</i> the success criteria or get them <i>involved</i> in establishing the criteria.
Students may find it <i>difficult to critique</i> their friends (or peers).	Train students in providing <i>respectful, constructive</i> et <i>authentic</i> criticism; keep an eye on how <i>students are paired</i> .
Students <i>may doubt or not accept feedback</i> from their peers OR they <i>may refuse to judge</i> a peer's production.	Encourage and guide students during the <i>process</i> and help them to develop a <i>critical eye</i> based on success criteria and examples; try <i>co-assessment</i> (student-teacher) as <i>training</i> before peer-assessment

From Durand and Chouinard (2012, p 254)

# Roles during Formative Assessment

## The **teacher's** role implies to...

- Coach
- Guide and support
- Use scaffolding strategies
- Observe and learn

## The **student's** role implies to...

- Be active, motivated and engaged
- Construct
- Collaborate
- Assess
- Sometimes be an expert

*From Leroux (2006)*

# Class Environment and Values

## Class environment prerequisites...

- an environment that **promotes effective learning**
- **cooperation** and **collaboration** versus competition
- a **safe** and **worry-free** environment versus a stressful one

*(Cégep de Sainte-Foy, 2012)*

## Underlying **values** linked to collaboration and support...

- helping others
- engagement and involvement
- openness
- active listening
- confidence
- respect - democracy
- risk taking (the right to make mistakes)
- ...

*(Rouiller and Howden, 2010, p. 91)*

# Key Ideas to Keep in Mind

For an **optimal** formative assessment process...

1. Clarify and share learning goals and success criteria with students
2. Create meaningful tasks for students
3. Compare performance with the learning goals
4. Identify both strengths and weaknesses
5. Provide specific, descriptive and useful feedback
6. Provide concrete ideas to help students close the gap

*From Brookhart (2012, p. 8)*

# Key Ideas to Keep in Mind

Some **strategies** that promote assessment for learning...

1. Use questions and discussions in the classroom
2. Use written and oral feedback that moves learning forward (consider using technology)
3. Engage students in their own assessment process or that of their peers
4. Develop assessment tools that are student-friendly
5. Provide training opportunities and guide students in giving constructive feedback

*Adapted from Durand and Loye (2014) and Wiliam (2007)*

# Drawing Conclusions and Moving Forward

What **conclusions** do you draw upon concerning your formative assessment practices in promoting student motivation and success?

What **main idea** do you walk away with from this workshop?

# Reading Sources...in English

- BROOKHART, S. M. (2008). Feedback That Fits. *Educational Leadership*, 65(4), December 2007 – January 2008, 54-59. <https://peandhealth.wikispaces.com/file/view/Brookhart+2008.pdf>
- BROOKHART, S. M. (2010). *Formative Assessment Strategies for Every Classroom*. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Alexandria VA : ASCD. To consult chapters 1 and 2: <http://www.ascd.org/publications/books/111005.aspx>
- BROOKHART, S. M. (2013). *How to Create and Use Rubrics for Formative Assessment and Grading*. Alexandria VA : ASCD.
- DAVID-LANG, J. (2013). Book Summary of *Embedded Formative Assessment* By Dylan Wiliam (Solution Tree Press, 2011). The Main Idea. Current Education Book Summaries. <http://www.tdschools.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/07/The-Main-Idea-Embedded-Formative-Assessment-March-2013.pdf>
- DAVID-LANG, J. (2013). Book Summary of *Visible Learning for Teachers: Maximizing Impact on Learning* By John Hattie (Routledge, 2012). The Main Idea. Current Education Book Summaries. <http://www.tdschools.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/The+Main+Idea++Visible+Learning+for+Teachers+-+April+2013.pdf>
- HATTIE, J. et TIMPERLEY, H. (2007). The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112. <http://www.columbia.edu/~mvp19/ETF/Feedback.pdf>
- WILIAM, D. (2007) Five « Key Strategies » for Effective Formative Assessment. Assessment Research Brief. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. [http://www.nctm.org/uploadedFiles/Research\\_and\\_Advocacy/research\\_brief\\_and\\_clips/Research\\_brief\\_04 - Five Key%20Strategies.pdf](http://www.nctm.org/uploadedFiles/Research_and_Advocacy/research_brief_and_clips/Research_brief_04_-_Five_Key%20Strategies.pdf)

# Reading Sources...in French

- BOUCHER, C. (2016). L'évaluation et la rétroaction par les pairs en enseignement supérieur. *Bulletin de veille no. 6*, février 2016. Portail du soutien à la pédagogie universitaire du réseau de l'Université du Québec. <http://pedagogie.uquebec.ca/portail/veille/bulletin-de-veille-no6-fevrier-2016-levaulation-et-la-retroaction-par-les-pairs-en>
- CÉGEP DE RIMOUSKI. (2013). Service de développement pédagogique. L'art de corriger efficacement. *Pédagotrucs*, no 41, printemps 2013. <http://www4.cegep-rimouski.qc.ca/cegep/pedagotrucs>
- HOWE, R. (2016). Soudoyer les étudiants pour qu'ils étudient ? *Infolettre Réseau collégial no. 66*, 7 novembre 2016. Portail du réseau collégial du Québec. [http://lescegeps.com/pedagogie/approches\\_pedagogiques/soudoyer\\_les\\_etudiants\\_pour\\_quils\\_etudiant](http://lescegeps.com/pedagogie/approches_pedagogiques/soudoyer_les_etudiants_pour_quils_etudiant)
- LAVEAULT, D. (2004). Évaluer les apprentissages : un jeu de serpents et échelles? Conférence de clôture du 24e colloque annuel de l'Association québécoise de pédagogie collégiale, St-Hyacinthe. [https://cdc.qc.ca/actes\\_aqpc/2004/Laveault\\_Dany\\_900.pdf](https://cdc.qc.ca/actes_aqpc/2004/Laveault_Dany_900.pdf)
- MASTRACCI, A. (2017). L'évaluation formative comme aide à l'apprentissage. *Pédagogie collégiale*, 30(1), 11 à 17. <http://aqpc.qc.ca/revue-volumes/ete-2017>
- ST-PIERRE, L. (2004). L'habileté d'autoévaluation : pourquoi et comment la développer? *Pédagogie collégiale*, 18(1), 33-38. [http://cegepscherbrooke.qc.ca/intra/rp/files/ssparagraph/f653717289/l\\_stpierre\\_habilete\\_autoevaluation.pdf](http://cegepscherbrooke.qc.ca/intra/rp/files/ssparagraph/f653717289/l_stpierre_habilete_autoevaluation.pdf)
- VIAU, R. (2002) L'évaluation source de motivation ou de démotivation? *Québec Français*, 127, 77-79. <http://id.erudit.org/iderudit/55820ac>

# What to dig even deeper ?

## AQPC **Turnkey Workshops**

In French - L'évaluation formative : évaluer pour faire apprendre (1½ h or 3 h)

In English - Formative Assessment : Assessment for Learning (1½ h or 3 h)

<http://aqpc.qc.ca/en/training> or <http://aqpc.qc.ca/formations>

## **DE**, Performa, UdeS

EVA 802 – L'élève et l'évaluation – 1 crédit

*This course, given in French, is dedicated to formative assessment.*

<https://www.usherbrooke.ca/performa/fr/programmations/reseau/automne-2017-activites-en-francais/>

# Other References

- Brookhart, S. M. (2012). *Stratégies d'évaluation en cours d'apprentissage*. Adaptation de Brian Svenningsen. Montréal : Chenelière Éducation.
- Cégep de Sainte-Foy (2012). Service du développement pédagogique et institutionnel. *Les avantages de l'évaluation formative*. [http://www.cegep-ste-foy.qc.ca/freesite/fileadmin/groups/7/Babillard/4.Evaluer/4.2.1 Les avantages de l evaluation formative.pdf](http://www.cegep-ste-foy.qc.ca/freesite/fileadmin/groups/7/Babillard/4.Evaluer/4.2.1_Les_avantages_de_l_evaluation_formative.pdf)
- Durand, M.-J. et Chouinard, R. (2012). *L'évaluation des apprentissages. De la planification de la démarche à la communication des résultats*. Montréal : Éditions Marcel Didier.
- Durand, M.-J. et Loye, N. (2014). *L'instrumentation pour l'évaluation. La boîte à outils de l'enseignant évaluateur*. Montréal : Éditions Marcel Didier.
- Leroux, J. L. (2015). Assessing to Promote Learning. Chapter 13. In Ménard, L. and St-Pierre, L. (eds.) *Lifelong Learning for Postsecondary Instructors*. Montreal : Chenelière Education/AQPC – Collection Performa.
- Leroux, J.L. (2010). Comment des enseignants du collégial évaluent-ils les compétences? Saint-Hyacinthe : Cégep de Saint-Hyacinthe. Article de vulgarisation du rapport PAREA. Repéré à <http://www.cdc.qc.ca/parea/787402-leroux-evaluation-competences-st-hyacinthe-article-PAREA-2010.pdf>

# Other References

- Leroux, J. L. (2006). *Synthèse des caractéristiques du paradigme de l'enseignement et de l'apprentissage*. Notes de cours, EVA 801 – Démarche évaluative au collégial.
- Louis, R. (2004). *L'évaluation des apprentissages en classe. Théorie et pratique*. Laval : Groupe Beauchemin Éditeur.
- Rouiller, Y. et Howden, J. (2010). *La pédagogie coopérative. Reflets de pratiques et approfondissements*. Montréal : Chenelière Éducation inc.
- Thompson, J. G. (2012). *La gestion de la classe au secondaire: guide pratique*. Montréal : Chenelière Éducation inc.
- Viau, R. (2015). How to Motivate Students. Chapter 9. In Ménard, L. and St-Pierre, L. (eds.) *Lifelong Learning for Postsecondary Instructors*. Montreal : Chenelière Education/AQPC – Collection Performa.